

# Constraints from higher-spin symmetries in dS QFTs

Ian A. Morrison

in collaboration with R. Costa (IFT, Sao Paulo)

McGill University

Workshop “Quantum fields and IR issues in de Sitter Space”  
Natal, July 20, 2015

# Motivation

QFTs are hard to solve.

Most soluble models have *enhanced symmetry*, and/or *simplified dynamics* relative to the Standard Model.

## Definition

**Higher-spin symmetries** are symmetries which enhance the spacetime isometry group. Generators transform as tensor components under isometry group.

Classic results on how HS symmetries constrain QFTs:

- ▶ Minkowski S-matrix [Coleman Mandula '67]
- ▶  $D = 2$  S-matrix [Parke '80]
- ▶  $D = 2$  CFTs [Zamolodchikov '85]
- ▶  $D = 3$  CFTs [Maldacena-Zhiboedev '11]

# Motivation

HS symmetries may also be incorporated into thys of quantum gravity.  
[Vasiliev ...]

## Higher-spin AdS/CFT

- ▶ Bulk 4D Vasiliev thys / 3D (critical)  $O(N)$  model [Klebanov Polyakov '02, Giombi Yin '11]
- ▶ 3D Chern-Simons gravity thys / 2D W-algebra CFTs

## Proposed dS/CFT duality

4D Vasiliev thys /  $SP(N)$  CFT<sub>3</sub> [Anninos Hartman Strominger '11]

Provides a putative UV complete thy of quantum gravity admitting dS background.

HS QFTs obtained by breaking of local HS symmetry in HS gravity thys;  
HS gravity thys obtained from QFT by gauging HS symmetry.

# Motivation

## Soft thms in cosmology

- ▶ Maldacena consistency condition for single-field inflation [[Maldacena '03](#)]
- ▶ Infinite set of generalizations [[Hinterbichler Hui Khoury '12](#)]
- ▶ Understood as *Ward identities* applied to correlation functions near conformal boundary [[Pimentel '13](#), [McFadden '14](#)]

# Outline

In this talk:

- ▶ We study the consequences of HS symmetries in dS QFTs in  $D = d + 1 > 2$ .
- ▶ Examine Ward identities applied to correlation functions near conformal boundary.

## Main result:

Consider a dS QFTs satisfying standard criteria (dS covariance, dS-inv't vacua, flat-space limit). If thy admits HS symmetry, and satisfies a **sparseness condition** on the operator spectrum, then thy posses operators which become free near the conformal boundary – **asymptotic gaussianity**.

- ▶ Our result is an analogue of the Coleman Mandula thm for dS QFTs.
- ▶ Our analysis is more similar in spirit to [\[Maldacena-Zhiboedev '11\]](#).

# Outline

Motivation

HS symmetries in free fields

HS charges with linear action

HS charges with non-linear action

Conclusions

# Outline

Motivation

HS symmetries in free fields

HS charges with linear action

HS charges with non-linear action

Conclusions

# HS symmetries in free fields

Consider a  $\mathbb{C}$  Klein-Gordon field on a Poincaré chart of  $dS_{D=d+1}$ :

$$ds^2 = \frac{\ell^2}{\eta^2} (-d\eta^2 + \delta_{ij} dx^i dx^j), \quad \eta \in (-\infty, 0), \quad x^i \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

$$S = \int d^D x \sqrt{-g} \left( -\frac{1}{2} \nabla_\mu \phi^\dagger \nabla^\mu \phi(x) - \frac{M^2}{2} \phi^\dagger \phi(x) \right), \quad M^2 \geq 0.$$

Spin-1,2 currents are familiar “Klein-Gordon” current, “stress tensor”

$$J_\mu(x) = \phi^\dagger \overleftrightarrow{\nabla}_\mu \phi(x),$$

$$J_{\mu\nu}(x) = \nabla_{(\mu} \phi^\dagger \nabla_{\nu)} \phi(x) - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} (\nabla_\lambda \phi^\dagger \nabla^\lambda \phi(x) + M^2 \phi^\dagger \phi(x)) + \dots$$

These *normal-ordered* w.r.t. vacuum  $\Omega$ .  $J_{\mu\nu}(x)$  traceless at conformal coupling

$$J_\mu{}^\mu \propto (M^2 - M_{\text{c.c.}}^2), \quad M_{\text{c.c.}}^2 \ell^2 = \frac{d^2 - 1}{4}.$$



# HS symmetries in free fields

Thy admits spin- $s$  current  $\forall s$ :

$$J_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_s}(x) = \sum_{j=0}^n c_j \nabla_{(\mu_1} \dots \nabla_{\mu_j} \phi^\dagger \nabla_{\mu_{j+1}} \dots \nabla_{\mu_s)} \phi(x) + \text{trace terms},$$

$$J^\nu_{\nu \mu_2 \dots \mu_s}(x) \propto (M^2 - M_{\text{c.c.}}^2) J_{\mu_2 \dots \mu_s}(x).$$

E.g., spin-3:

$$\begin{aligned} J_{\mu\nu\lambda}(x) = \frac{1}{4(d+2)} & \left[ (d-1) (\phi^\dagger \nabla_{(\mu} \nabla_\nu \nabla_{\lambda)} \phi(x) - \nabla_{(\mu} \nabla_\nu \nabla_{\lambda)} \phi^\dagger \phi(x)) \right. \\ & - 3(3+d) \nabla_{(\mu} \phi^\dagger \overleftrightarrow{\nabla}_\nu \nabla_{\lambda)} \phi(x) + 6g_{(\mu\nu} \nabla^\alpha \phi^\dagger \overleftrightarrow{\nabla}_\lambda \nabla_{\alpha)} \phi(x) \\ & \left. + [6M^2 - (d-1)(3d+2)\ell^{-2}] g_{(\mu\nu} J_{\lambda)}(x) \right], \\ J^\mu_{\mu\lambda}(x) = & (M^2 - M_{\text{c.c.}}^2) J_\lambda(x). \end{aligned}$$

# HS symmetries in free fields

Using KVF  $p^\mu$  tangent to  $d\eta$  may construct charges

$$Q_p^{(s)} := \eta^{1-d} \int d^d x p^{\nu_1} \cdots p^{\nu_s} J_{\eta\nu_1 \dots \nu_s}(\eta, x) \Big|_{\eta=\text{const}},$$

$$\left[ Q_p^{(s)}, \phi(x) \right] = i \partial_p^s \phi(x), \quad Q_p^{(s)} |\Omega\rangle = 0.$$

For each charge  $\exists$  a **charge conservation law** (Ward identity)

$$0 = \left\langle \left[ Q_p^{(s)}, \phi(x_1) \right] \phi(x_2) \cdots \phi(x_n) + \cdots + \phi(x_1) \cdots \phi(x_{n-1}) \left[ Q_p^{(s)}, \phi(x_n) \right] \right\rangle_\Omega.$$

Letting  $p^\mu \partial_\mu = \partial_1$ , in Fourier space

$$0 = \sum_{i=1}^n (\pm) (k_{i1})^s.$$

For  $s = 1$  this is usual momentum conservation. For  $s > 1$  satisfied b.c. for transl.-inv *Gaussian states*, momenta form equal/opposite pairs.

# HS symmetries in free fields

Why are HS symmetries so powerful?

1.  $Q_p^{(s)}$  enlarge isometry subgroup  $ISO(d) \subset SO(D, 1)$

$$\left[ P_i, Q_p^{(s)} \right] = 0, \quad \left[ D, Q_p^{(s)} \right] = -s Q_p^{(s)}.$$

2. Finite action of  $Q_p^{(s)}$  displaces wavepackets in position space by momentum-dependent amount.

$$\phi[f] := \int d^D x \sqrt{-g} f(x) \phi(x), \quad \hat{f}(k) = e^{-(k-k_0)^2/4w^2},$$

$$e^{i\alpha Q_p^{(s)}} \phi[f] |\Omega\rangle = \phi[f'] |\Omega\rangle, \quad \hat{f}'(k) = e^{-(k-k_0)^2/4w^2} e^{i\alpha(p \cdot k)^s}.$$

In  $D > 2$  symmetry relates wavepackets that do not collide with those that do.

3. Frequently thys with one HS symmetry actually have *many*.

# The Coleman Mandula thm

$U$  is a symmetry of the  $S$ -matrix if:

- i)  $U$  maps 1-particle states to 1-particle states,
- ii)  $U$  maps many particle states as tensor product,
- iii)  $U$  commutes with  $S$ ,

$U$  is an *internal symmetry* if it commutes with  $P_\mu$ .

## Theorem

*Assume  $S \neq 1$ . If  $G$  is connected (Lie) symmetry group of  $S$ , then  $G$  is locally isomorphic to  $ISO(D) \times I$ , where  $I$  is an internal symmetry group.*

## Note:

- ▶ It is assumed that symmetry acts linearly on asympt. 1-particle Hilbert space.
- ▶ For any finite mass  $M$ , there exists a finite number of particle species with mass less than  $M$ .
- ▶ There are a few ugly technical assumptions about distributional nature of some objects which go into proof.

# Outline

Motivation

HS symmetries in free fields

HS charges with linear action

HS charges with non-linear action

Conclusions

# HS charges with linear action

## Theorem

*Consider a de Sitter QFT satisfying a few reasonable criteria which admits a local HS charge*

$$Q_p^{(s)} := \eta^{1-d} \int d^d x p^{\nu_1} \dots p^{\nu_s} J_{\eta\nu_1 \dots \nu_s}(\eta, x) \Big|_{\eta=\text{const}}, \quad p^\mu \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

*If the action of  $Q_p^{(s)}$  on a scalar operator  $\phi(x)$  is **linear** then  $\phi(x)$  is a generalized free field.*

Linear action:

$$\left[ Q_p^{(s)}, \phi(x) \right] = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{k-s}^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_k}(x) \nabla_{\mu_1} \dots \nabla_{\mu_k} \phi(x).$$

# HS charges with linear action

## Reasonable criteria:

1. dS covariance: “thy does not have preferred direction”
2. flat-space limit:  $\ell \rightarrow \infty$ , all other dimensionful parameters fixed. All operator equations, OPE, etc., admit flat-space limit without assumptions about (expectation values of) operators.
3.  $\exists SO_0(D, 1)$ -invariant state(s)  $\Omega_i$ .

$\Rightarrow$  correlation functions of  $\Omega_i$  admit *generalized Mellin transform* [Marolf IAM '10, Hollands '10, Korai Tanaka '12]

$$\langle \Omega_1 | \phi(x_1) \dots \phi(x_n) | \Omega_2 \rangle = \int_{\vec{\mu}} \mathcal{M}(\vec{\mu}) \prod_{i < j}^n X_{ij}^{\mu_{ij}},$$

$$\int_{\vec{\mu}} A(\vec{\mu}) := \left[ \prod_{i < j}^n \int_{C_{ij}} \frac{d\mu_{ij}}{2\pi i} \right] A(\mu_{12}, \dots, \mu_{n-1,n}), \quad X_{ij} = \frac{|\vec{x}_i - \vec{x}_j|^2 - (\eta_i - \eta_j)^2}{4\eta_i\eta_j}.$$

# HS charges with linear action

Sketch of proof: consider  $Q_p^{(2)}$ :

## Lemma

*The most general linear action of  $Q_p^{(2)}$  on  $\phi(x)$  is*

$$\left[ Q_p^{(2)}, \phi(x) \right] = i \left( \frac{c_1}{\eta^2} + \frac{c_2}{\eta} \partial_\eta + c_3 \partial_\eta^2 + c_4 \partial_p^2 + c_5 \Delta_s \right) \phi(x) =: \mathcal{D}_p(x) \phi(x),$$

where  $c_i$  are real constants,  $\partial_p := p^\mu \partial_\mu$ , and  $\Delta_s$  is the scalar Laplacian compatible with the flat metric on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ .

Follows from considering:  $C(x) := [Q_p^{(2)}, \phi(x)]$

- ▶ translations:  $U(a)C(x)U^{-1}(a) = C(x - a)$
- ▶ dilations:  $U(\lambda)C(x)U^{-1}(\lambda) = \lambda^{-2}C(\lambda^{-1}x)$
- ▶ length dimension & flat-space limit:  $\ell \rightarrow \infty$
- ▶  $\mathbb{R}^d$  reflections  $\partial_p \rightarrow -\partial_p$ :  $C(x) \rightarrow C(x)$



# HS charges with linear action

Charge conservation law:

$$0 = \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \mathcal{D}_p(x_i) \right) \langle \Omega_1 | \phi(x_1) \dots \phi(x_n) | \Omega_2 \rangle ,$$

$$\mathcal{D}_p(x) = i \left( \frac{c_1}{\eta^2} + \frac{c_2}{\eta} \partial_\eta + c_3 \partial_\eta^2 + c_4 \partial_p^2 + c_5 \Delta_s \right) .$$

Analyze in “Mellin space”:

- ▶ Each  $\partial_p^2$  terms must vanish individually.
- ▶ Each term yields constraint on Mellin transform  $\mathcal{M}(\vec{\mu})$ .
- ▶ For  $(n > 2)$ -pt function: correlation function is *disconnected*.

$\Rightarrow \phi(x)$  is generalized free field. ■

# Outline

Motivation

HS symmetries in free fields

HS charges with linear action

HS charges with non-linear action

Conclusions

# HS charges with non-linear action

In general, action on  $\phi(x)$  is *non-linear*:

$$\left[ Q_p^{(s)}, \phi(x) \right] = i \sum_A \frac{1}{\eta^{s-k}} c_A^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_k} \mathcal{O}_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_k}^A(x).$$

Any operator w/ correct quantum numbers may enter RHS.

## Modest goal:

Consider equal-time correlation functions in limit  $\eta \rightarrow 0$ ,

$$F_n(\eta; \vec{x}_1, \dots, \vec{x}_n) := \langle \Omega_1 | \phi(\eta, \vec{x}_1) \dots \phi(\eta, \vec{x}_n) | \Omega_2 \rangle.$$

How do HS symmetries constrain asymptotic behavior near conformal boundary?

## Definition

An operator  $\phi(x)$  is **asymptotically Gaussian** if the leading behavior of all  $\{F_n\}$  as  $\eta \rightarrow 0$  is Gaussian (i.e., composed of connected 2-pt functions).

# HS charges with non-linear action

Examine commutator in *Fefferman-Graham* expansion.

$$ds^2 = \frac{\ell^2}{\eta^2} (-d\eta^2 + \delta_{ij} dx^i dx^j).$$

Local operators have characteristic scaling set by EOM, Ward identity, etc.

$$\phi_\Delta(x) = O(\eta^\Delta), \quad \Delta > 0,$$

valid as *operator equation*. May regard  $\Delta$  as one of the quantum numbers of  $\phi(x)$ .

E.g., for light KG fields,

$$\Delta_{KG} = \frac{d}{2} - \sqrt{\frac{d^2}{4} - M^2 \ell^2}, \quad M^2 \ell^2 < \frac{d^2}{4}.$$

For conserved currents, Ward identities require

$$J_\eta(x) = O(\eta^{d-1}), \quad T_{\eta i}(x) = O(\eta^{d-1}), \quad T_{\eta\eta}(x) = O(\eta^d), \quad \dots$$

# HS charges with non-linear action

In FG expansion, asymptotic charge conservation identity simplifies:

$$\text{as } \eta \rightarrow 0 : \quad \left[ Q_p^{(s)}, \phi(x) \right] \rightarrow i \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\eta^{s-k}} c_A^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_k} \mathcal{O}_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_k}^A(x) \Big|_{O(\eta^\Delta)}.$$

Consider the following:

## Sparseness condition:

All  $O(\eta^\Delta)$  operators on RHS of commutator eqn. are linear in  $\phi(x)$ .

1. When SC satisfied, the asympt. action of  $Q_p^{(s)}$  on  $\phi(x)$  is linear.  
Thus  $\phi(x)$  is **asymptotically gaussian**.
2. If SC not satisfied, thy contains another operator which
  - i) has quantum numbers to enter in  $C(x) = [Q_p^{(s)}, \phi(x)]$ ,
  - ii) is  $O(\eta^\Delta)$  as  $\eta \rightarrow 0$ ,
  - iii) is not linear in  $\phi(x)$ .

# HS charges with non-linear action

So far I have said nothing profound!

Consider light operators:  $\Delta \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $O(1)$ . These of greatest interest because they feel background the most, and are most “visible” at late times. Consider the “minimal” operator algebra,  $\text{poly}(\phi) + \text{conserved currents}$ :

1. For  $\Delta \notin \mathbb{N}_0$ , no obvious candidate to violate SC.
2. For  $\Delta \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $\exists$  many candidates in minimal algebra which could violate SC.

## Conjecture

Generic HS thys with non-integer  $\Delta$  are asymptotically Gaussian, but there exist exceptional HS thys with operators of integer  $\Delta$  which are not.

Resonates w/ perturbative global dS S-matrix [Marolf IAM Srednicki '12].  
HS gravitational thys have integer  $\Delta$ !

# HS charges with non-linear action

The minimal operator algebra:

1.  $\text{poly}(\phi)$ :  $\phi(x)$ ,  $\phi^2(x)$ ,  $\phi^2 \nabla_\mu \phi(x)$ ,  $\dots$ 
  - ▶ WLOG may consider  $\phi(x)$  unique scalar operator of scaling dimension  $\Delta$ .
  - ▶ In GFF know exactly how all members scale at late times. Only  $\phi(x)$  scales like  $\eta^\Delta$ .
  - ▶ In generic th they don't know scaling dimension of composite operators, but do expect for very light fields that all polynomials to decay at least as fast as  $\phi(x)$ .
2. conserved currents
  - ▶ Ward id: scale like integer power:  $\eta^{d-1+n}$ ,  $n = 0, 1, \dots$ ,
  - ▶ for  $D > 2$  the “twist”  $\Delta - s > 0$ , so for most components higher spin currents decay more rapidly

# Outline

Motivation

HS symmetries in free fields

HS charges with linear action

HS charges with non-linear action

Conclusions



# Conclusions

## Conclusions

For operators with “generic” quantum numbers, HS symmetries greatly constrain asymptotic form of correlation functions.

- ▶ scalars with  $\Delta \notin \mathbb{N}_0$ : expect *asymptotic gaussianity*
- ▶ scalars with  $\Delta \in \mathbb{N}_0$ : no statement

Remains to determine the generality of our analysis:

- ▶ extend discussion to tensor operators
- ▶ incorporate local gauge symmetries
- ▶ study concrete examples

Our analysis does not apply to  $D = 2$ , where  $\exists$  interesting, interacting, *soluble* thys w/ HS symmetries (Ask me about non-linear sigma models in 2D dS)