

Integrable models with ①  
non-Hermitian Hamiltonians.

A. Stolin, Dept of Math

Sciences, Chalmers and University

of Göteborg, Sweden.

## 1. Historical notes.

The first integrable model with

a non-Hermitian Hamiltonian

was treated by Alcaraz, Droz,

Henkel, and Rittenberg in 1994

(Ann. Phys. 230).

Later, in 1997 P.P. Kulish and

A.A. Stolin discussed a new

development of the QISM.

(2)

## 2. Algebraic background.

Let  $H$  be a Hopf algebra

with comultiplication  $\Delta$  and

antipode  $S$ , co-unit  $\varepsilon$ .

Definition. An invertible element

$F \in H \otimes H$ ,  $F = \sum_i f_i^{(1)} \otimes f_i^{(2)}$  is

called a "quantum twist"  $\Leftrightarrow$

$$(\text{QT}): F^{12} (\Delta \otimes \text{id})(F) = F^{23} (\text{id} \otimes \Delta) F.$$

Theorem.  $QT$  defines a new

Hopf algebra structure on  $H$ :

$$1) \Delta_F = F \Delta F^{-1};$$

$$2) S_F = u S u^{-1} \text{ with } u = \sum_i f_i^{(1)} S(f_i^{(2)});$$

$$3) \varepsilon_F \text{ remains the same: } \varepsilon_F = \varepsilon.$$

(3)

If  $H$  has an additional  
invertible element  $R \in H \otimes H$

such that :

$$1) \Delta^{\circ P} = R \Delta R^{-1},$$

$$2) (\Delta \otimes \text{id})(R) = R_{13} R_{23},$$

$$3) (\text{id} \otimes \Delta)(R) = R_{13} R_{12},$$

then  $R$  satisfies the QYBE

and  $R_F = F_{21} R F^{-1}$  satisfies

the Q YBE as well,

Moreover,

$$1) \quad \Delta_F^{\text{op}} = R_F \cdot \Delta_F \cdot R_F^{-1},$$

$$2) \quad (\Delta_F \otimes \text{id})(R_F) = (R_F)_{13} (R_F)_{23},$$

$$3) \quad (\text{id} \otimes \Delta_F)(R_F) = (R_F)_{13} (R_F)_{12}.$$

4

### 3. First examples.

$H = U(sl_2)$ ,  $\Delta = \Delta_0$  defined

as  $\Delta_0(a) = a \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes a$ ,  $a \in sl_2$ .

$$F = 1 \otimes 1 + \sum E \otimes H + \frac{E^2}{2!} \otimes H(H+2) + \dots$$

$$\sum n! \cdot E^n \otimes H(H+2) \dots (H+2n-2) \dots$$

\*

(Here,  $\{E, F, H\}$  is the standard basis of  $sl_2$ ).

Remarks. In 1983, Drinfeld explained

that such an  $F \in U(g) \otimes U(g)$

"quantizes" certain Poisson brackets

on  $G$  ( $\text{Lie}(G)=g$ ). It was done

in different terms because quantum

groups did not exist in 1983.

Many such  $F$  were constructed

in Leningrad in 90s by P.P. Kulish,

V.D. Lyakhovsky and their students.

(5)

4. From quantum twist to deformation  
of integrable models.

We take into account that

$U(sl_2)$  is a Hopf subalgebra

of  $Y(sl_2)$ .  $\Rightarrow$  The same  $F$

can be used to deform (twist)

$Y(sl_2)$ :

$$R_3(u) = F_{21} \left( I + \frac{P}{u} \right) F^{-1} =$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\xi & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \xi & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \xi^2 & -\xi & \xi & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{P}{u}$$

(6)

Now, we have all the ingredients at hands to apply the QISM:

$$\begin{aligned}
 H_{\zeta} &= H_{XXX} + \zeta^2 \sigma_n^+ \sigma_{n+1}^- + \zeta (\sigma_n^- - \sigma_{n+1}^-) \\
 &= \sum_n \left( \sigma_n^x \sigma_{n+1}^x + \sigma_n^y \sigma_{n+1}^y + \sigma_n^z \cdot \sigma_{n+1}^z \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \zeta^2 (\sigma_n^- \sigma_{n+1}^-) + \zeta (\sigma_n^- - \sigma_{n+1}^-) \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Here,  $\sigma^x, \sigma^y, \sigma^z$  are Pauli matrices,

$$\sigma^- = E = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It turns out that  $H_3$  has

the same spectrum as  $H_{XXX}$ .

We follow the QISM formalism

$$T(u) = \begin{pmatrix} A(u) & B(u) \\ C(u) & D(u) \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow$$

$$R_3(u-v) T_1(u) T_2(v) = T_2(v) T_1(u) R_3(u-v).$$

(7)

## 5. What to do with this model?

Relations between A, B, C, D become  
so complicated that there is no  
idea to write them here.

We failed to solve this model.

However, in memory of P.P. Kulish

I suggest to call it the Kulish model.

20 years ago, when we obtained

this result I met Vladimir

Rittenberg at the Weizmann Inst. of

Science. I told him that

(under some choice of parameters) the

ADHR\* model degenerates to the

Kulish model.

\* ADHR = Alcaraz, Droz, Henkel, Rittenberg

(8)

Rittenberg said: We solved our model without any QISM.

Use your QISM to solve your model and we will compare results!

## 6. Classification of quantum groups.

Recently, in a series of papers "finite dimensional" quantum groups

were classified by means of the  
so called Belavin-Drinfeld and  
Galois cohomologies.

"Finite dimensional"  $\Leftrightarrow \lim = \text{finite}$   
dimensional simple Lie algebra  
over  $\mathbb{C}$ .

(9)

The theory says (in combinatorial terms) that there exists yet

unknown quantum group of type

$U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ , let us denote it by

$U_{\text{ung}}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ .

Similar to that of

$U(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \subset Y(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ ,

$$U_q(sl_2) \subset U_q(\widehat{sl}_2),$$

$U_{\text{ung}}(sl_2)$  can be embedded to

a yet unknown "infinite dim"

quantum group  $U_{\text{ung}}(\widehat{sl}_2)$ .

"A very weak conjecture":

$U_{\text{ung}}(sl_2)$  can be used to "construct"

QISM for the ADHR model.

10

## 7. Why it might be true?

Quantum groups have classical limits, which are Lie bialgebras.

Usually, Lie bialgebras are defined by classical r-matrices.

For instance, if we use  $F = I \otimes I + \zeta E \otimes H + \dots$

we obtain in the classical limit

$$r = E \otimes H - H \otimes E.$$

The quantum group  $U_{\text{q}}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$

is unknown. However, it is

possible to prove that in the

classical limit it will produce

$r = E \otimes H - H \otimes E$ . Recall that

ADHR  $\xrightarrow{\text{degener.}}$  Kulish. Probably,

$U_{\text{q}}(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$  can be degenerated to  $Y(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ ?

(11)

## 8. Faddeev and classification

of quantum groups for  $sl_3$ .

It turns out that to classify quantum groups such that their classical limit is  $sl_3$ , one has to employ the so called cubic rings. Recently, M. ~~Bhargava~~ Bhargava

used Faddeev's results to obtain

cubic analogues of Gauss composition

law. Same results of Faddeev were

used to classify quantum groups.

Reference: D. K. Faddeev,

On the theory of cubic  $\mathbb{Z}$ -rings.

Mat. Inst. Steklov, 1965, v. 80.