# Extending the LCDM model through spatial anisotropies

## Thiago Pereira

(In collaboration with: Guillermo Marugán, Saulo Carneiro, Cyril Pitrou and J-P. Uzan)

> Physics Department Londrina State University Londrina – Brazil

Quantum fields and IR issues in de Sitter space Natal - 2015



# **ACDM model ingredients**



# **Symmetries**

#### Homogeneous and Isotropic (eg. FRW)



☆

Isotropic and

Inhomogeneous



Homogeneous and anisotropic (eg. Bianchi)

# Early-time constraints: CMB

Planck 2013



 $\frac{\Delta T}{T} \sim 0.001\% \quad @ \quad z \sim 1100$ 

# Early-time constraints: CMB

# FLRW is assumed true at all redshifts...





# ... but CMB is only observed at high redshifts



# Late-time constraints: Weak lensing

Weak-lensing of large scale structure is a powerful tool to probe latetime geometry



# Why care about spatial anisotropies?

- Because isotropy is a very strong hypothesis
  - Early-time anisotropy can be imprinted on CMB
  - Late-time anisotropy can result from backreaction
- Because we can!
  - Astronomical data has increased enormously, and is still growing...
- CMB anomalies
  - Though not strongly significant (~ 3σ), they are typical signatures of anisotropy
- Because it is fun! =)

# Messages I plan to deliver

#### Message 1

- Homogeneous and anisotropic models are the simplest extension of FLRW
- Perturbation theory in these models is feasible!
- There is much more to anisotropy than anisotropic expansion.

#### Message 2

- There are ways to evade the isotropy of CMB
- B-modes of cosmic shear is a direct tracer of late-time anisotropy.

#### This talk

- Part 1: Linear perturbation theory
- Part 2: primordial anisotropies
- Part 3: late-time anisotropies
- Final Remarks

$$\begin{array}{ll} \rightarrow & T(t,k), & P(\vec{k}) \\ \rightarrow & T(t,\vec{k}), & P(k) \end{array}$$

## Part 1: Linear Perturbation Theory

#### Linear Perturbation Theory General requirements

1. Linear parameterization of perturbations

 $g_{\mu\nu} \to g_{\mu\nu} + \epsilon \delta g_{\mu\nu} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2) \quad T_{\mu\nu} \to T_{\mu\nu} + \epsilon \delta T_{\mu\nu} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2)$ 

- 2. Appropriate mode decomposition:
  - -1+3 → time + (Scalar+Vector+Tensor) (e.g.:FLRW)
    -1+2+1 → time + (Scalar+Vector) + (Scalar) (eg: LRS Bianchi)
    -1+1+1+1 → time + (Scalar)+(Scalar)+(Scalar) (eg: Bianchi I)
- 3. Complete basis of spatial eigenfunctions.

$$\nabla^2 \phi = -q^2 \phi \quad \rightarrow \quad f(\vec{x}) = \oint c_{\vec{q}} \phi(\vec{x}) d\vec{q}$$

4. This talk: Bianchi I, Bianchi III and Kantowski-Sachs

Background: 
$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + X_1(t)^2 dx^2 + X_2(t)^2 dy^2 + X_3(t)^2 dz^2$$
  
=  $a(\eta)^2 (-d\eta^2 + \gamma_{ij}(\eta) dx^i dx^j)$ 

Matter:  $T_{\mu\nu} = (\rho + p)u_{\mu}u_{\nu} + pg_{\mu\nu} + \Pi_{\mu\nu}$ 

#### **Dynamical equations:**

Formal solutions:

$$3H^{2} = \rho + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^{2} \qquad \Pi^{i}{}_{j} \equiv \rho_{de}\Delta\omega^{i}{}_{j}$$
  

$$(\sigma^{i}{}_{j})^{\cdot} = -3H\sigma^{i}{}_{j} + \Pi^{i}{}_{j} \qquad \sigma^{i}{}_{j} = \frac{1}{a^{3}}\left[C^{i}{}_{j} + \int\Pi^{i}{}_{j}a^{2}\frac{da}{H}\right]$$
  

$$\dot{\rho}_{de} = -\sigma_{ij}\Pi^{ij} \qquad \rho_{de} = \rho^{0}_{de}\exp\left[-\int\sigma^{ij}\Delta\omega_{ij}\frac{da}{aH}\right]$$

Perturbations are decomposed in Scalar-Vector-Tensor modes in the usual fashion

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi &\to \varphi \\ V_i &\to \partial_i V + \bar{V}_i \\ h_{ij} &\to C \left( \gamma_{ij} + \frac{\sigma_{ij}}{\mathcal{H}} \right) + \partial_i \partial_j E + \partial_{(i} \bar{E}_{j)} + \bar{E}_{ij} \end{aligned}$$

Subject to the TT conditions

$$\partial^i \bar{V}_i = 0 = \partial^i \bar{E}_i, \quad \bar{E}_i^i = 0 = \partial^i \bar{E}_{ij}$$

Price to pay for the SVT decomposition: dynamical mode-coupling!

#### Formalism:

Plane waves decomposition is formally the same

$$f(x^{i},\eta) = \int \frac{d^{3}k}{(2\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}}} f(k_{i},\eta) e^{ik_{i}x^{i}}$$

But since the metric is time-dependent, we have

$$k_i = \text{constant}, \quad k^i = \gamma^{ij}(\boldsymbol{\eta})k_j, \quad k^2 = \gamma^{ij}(\boldsymbol{\eta})k_ik_j,$$

This leads to an intrinsic coupling between SVT modes.

$$\Phi_i = k_i \Phi + \bar{\Phi}_i, \qquad k^i \bar{\Phi}_i = 0$$
$$k^i (k_i \Phi)' + k^i (\bar{\Phi}_i)' \neq (k^i k_i \Phi)' + (k^i \bar{\Phi}_i)'$$

#### Mukhanov-Sasaki variables:

There are three effective gauge-independent degrees of freedom, generalizing the Mukhanov-Sasaki variables:

$$v = \mathbf{a} \left( \delta \varphi + \Psi \frac{\varphi'}{\mathcal{H}} \right), \quad \mu_+ = \mathbf{a} E_+ \quad \mu_\times = \mathbf{a} E_\times$$

Main characteristics:

- Vectors modes have no dynamics (if not sourced)
- Dynamical modes couple at linear order!
- Polarization modes with different dynamics

#### **Dynamics:**

Coupled oscillator-like equations:

$$\begin{pmatrix} v \\ \mu_+ \\ \mu_\times \end{pmatrix}'' + \begin{pmatrix} \omega_v^2 & -\Omega_+ & -\Omega_\times \\ -\Omega_+ & \omega_+^2 & -\Gamma \\ -\Omega_\times & -\Gamma & \omega_\times^2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} v \\ \mu_+ \\ \mu_\times \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

#### Numerical Solutions:





Anisotropic, homogeneous and spatially curved manifold

$$ds^{2} = -dt^{2} + a(t)^{2}\gamma_{ab}(\boldsymbol{x}^{c})dx^{a}dx^{b} + b^{2}(t)dz^{2}$$

Constant-time hypersurfaces:



Since these universes are anisotropically curved, can they expand isotropically?

Yes! Provide that the energy momentum tensor describes an imperfect fluid

#### Shear-free anisotropy:

Anisotropic models cannot simultaneously have perfect fluid content and shear-free timelike congruences. But since we assume that

 $\pi_{\mu
u}\propto\sigma_{\mu
u}$ 

the vanishing of the shear implies a perfect fluid and FLRW dynamics...

...but this is not the only choice!

$$\dot{\theta} + \frac{1}{3}\theta^2 + 2\sigma^2 + \frac{1}{2}(\rho + 3p) = 0$$
  
$$\dot{\sigma}_{\mu\nu} + \sigma^{\rho}_{\mu}\sigma_{\rho\nu} + \frac{2}{3}\sigma_{\mu\nu}\theta - \frac{2}{3}\sigma^2 h_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}\pi_{\mu\nu} + E_{\mu\nu} = 0$$
  
$$\sigma_{\mu\nu} = 0 \quad \rightarrow \quad \pi_{\mu\nu} = 2E_{\mu\nu}$$

 $\mu \nu$ 

(Mimoso & Crawford, CQG, 1993)

 $\mu\nu$ 

Shear-free anisotropic metric:

$$ds^{2} = a^{2}(\eta)(-d\eta^{2} + \gamma_{ab}(x^{c})dx^{a}dx^{b} + dz^{2})$$
Isotropic expansion
Anisotropic spatial curvation

#### Matter content:

Perfect fluid + Anisotropic Stress
Perfect fluid + Massless Scalar Field
Perfect fluid + Three-form

(Mimoso&Crawford, CQG 1992) (Carneiro&Mena-Marugán, PRD 2010) (Koivisto et. al., PRD 2010)

**Dynamics:** FLRW background with curvature!

$$\mathcal{H}^2 = \frac{1}{3}a^2\rho - \frac{\kappa}{a^2} \qquad \Omega_{\kappa} \sim \frac{1}{a^2}$$

#### Geometry:

Natural 1+2 splitting at *t* = cte. Perturbations are decomposed in Scalar plus Scalar-Vector modes

Real line

## $V_{a} \rightarrow D_{a}V + \bar{V}_{a}$ $h_{ab} \rightarrow 2S\gamma_{ab} + 2D_{a}D_{b}U + 2D_{(a}\bar{E}_{b)} \qquad \phi, \psi, \dots$

Matter-content:  $T_{\mu\nu} = (\rho + p)u_{\mu}u_{\nu} + pg_{\mu\nu} + \pi_{\mu\nu}$ 

#### Features:

- No nontrivial transverse and trace-free tensor modes.
- No dynamical coupling of modes!

2D-subspace

Perturbations to the stress tensor do not grow!.

Fourier analysis:

$$\nabla^2 \phi = -q^2 \phi, \qquad \Phi(t, \vec{x}) = \oint \Phi(t, \vec{q}) \phi_{\vec{q}}(\vec{x})$$

#### Complete basis of eigenfunctions:

#### <u>Bianchi III</u>

# $\phi_{\vec{q}}(\vec{x}) \sim \mathcal{P}_{\ell}^{m}(\cosh \rho) e^{im\varphi} e^{ikz}$ $q^{2} = \ell^{2} + k^{2} + 1/4$

#### Common features:

- No supercurvature modes:
- Infinite curvature limit:

 $q^2 \ge |\text{curvature scale}|$  $\phi_{\vec{q}} \sim J_m(\omega\rho)e^{im\varphi}e^{ikz}$ 

#### Kantowski-Sachs

$$\phi_{\vec{q}}(\vec{x}) \sim P_{\ell}^{m}(\cos \rho) e^{im\varphi} e^{ikz}$$
$$q^{2} = \ell(\ell+1) + k^{2}$$

Mukhanov-Sasaki variables:

There are four effective gauge-independent degrees of freedom, generalizing the Mukhanov-Sasaki variables:



- Scalar modes evolves as in standard FL with different eigenfunctions.
- Polarization of gravity waves with different dynamics!

## Part 2: Primordial Anisotropies

Exact solution for a "de Sitter" phase:



(Pitrou et. al., JCAP 2008)

#### Application to chaotic inflation:



#### Quantization:

- FRW
  - Bunch-Davies vacuum at infinity past
  - WKB approximation is always possible at  $t \rightarrow 0$
- Bianchi I
  - No Bunch-Davies vacuum at infinity past
  - WKB approximation does not always work



#### WKB approximation:



Plane-wave

Observational constraints from CMB give  $\sigma/H \le 1\%$ 

Spectrum of perturbations:

$$\langle \Phi(\vec{k})\Phi^*(\vec{q})\rangle = \mathcal{P}(\vec{k})\delta(\vec{k}-\vec{q}) \quad \mathcal{P}(\vec{k}) = f(k)\sum_{\ell,m} r_{\ell m}(k)Y_{\ell,m}(\hat{k})$$

- $r_{\ell m} \to 0$  when  $k \to \infty$  and  $\ell \gg 1$
- Even perturbations: no odd-parity correlations are allowed!

$$\langle a_{2m}a_{3m}^*\rangle = 0$$

Side Effect: no quadrupole-octopole alignment from temperature perturbations!!



Since there is no mode-coupling, we focus only on scalar modes:

$$ds^{2} = a^{2}(\eta) \left[ -(1+2\Phi)d\eta^{2} - 2\Pi d\eta dz + (1+2\Psi)\gamma_{ab}dx^{a}dx^{b} + (1+2\Lambda)dz^{2} \right]$$

Scalar fields do not have stress:

$$\delta T^a_b = 0 \quad \rightarrow \quad D^a D_b (\Lambda + \Phi) = 0 \quad \rightarrow \quad \Lambda = -\Phi$$

During inflation, one has

$$\Pi = \text{const.} \times a^{-2} \quad \rightarrow \quad \Phi + \Psi = (a^2 \Pi)' \to 0 \quad \rightarrow \quad \Psi = -\Phi$$

Thus:

$$ds^{2} = a^{2}(\eta) \left[ -(1 + 2\Phi(\vec{x}))d\eta^{2} + (1 - 2\Phi(\vec{x}))h_{ij}dx^{i}dx^{j} \right]$$

(Pereira, Marugán, Carneiro, arXiv:1505.00794)

#### **Corollaries:**

- Time evolution of perturbations do not change
- Sachs-Wolfe effect is the same

Large-angle temperature correlations

...But we can fix  $\mathcal{P}(\vec{k})$  by demanding that the 2pcf agrees with the isotropic one when  $n \rightarrow n'$ . This gives:

$$\underline{\mathsf{B-III}} \qquad \mathcal{P}(\vec{q}) = (\tanh \pi \ell)^{-1} \mathcal{P}(q) \\
\underline{\mathsf{KS}} \qquad \mathcal{P}(\vec{q}) = 2\pi \mathcal{P}(q)$$

$$\begin{cases}
q^{3} \mathcal{P}(q) = 2\pi^{2} A q^{n_{s}-1} \\
q^{3} \mathcal{P}(q) = 2\pi^{2} A q^{n_{s}-1}
\end{cases}$$

**General 2pcf:** modifications results from geodesics and eigenfunctions

Isotropic case:

$$C(\hat{n}\cdot\hat{n}') = \int \omega d\omega \int dk \mathcal{P}(q) e^{ik\Delta z} J_0(\omega\Delta\rho) \qquad \Delta\rho^2 = \rho^2 + \rho'^2 - 2\rho\rho'\cos(\Delta\phi)$$

#### <u>Bianchi III</u>

$$C(\hat{n}, \hat{n}') = \int \omega d\omega \int dk \mathcal{P}(q) e^{ik\Delta z} P_{-\frac{1}{2} + i\ell} (\cosh \Delta \rho)$$
$$\cosh \Delta \rho = \cosh \rho \cosh \rho' - \sinh \rho \sinh \rho' \cos(\Delta \phi)$$

Kantowski-Sachs

$$C(\hat{n}, \hat{n}') = \sum_{\ell} \left( \ell + \frac{1}{2} \right) \int dk \mathcal{P}(q) e^{ik\Delta z} P_{\ell}(\cos \Delta \rho) - \sum_{\ell} \sum_{\ell \neq 0} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\ell \neq 0} \frac{1}{2} \int dk \mathcal{P}(q) e^{ik\Delta z} P_{\ell}(\cos \Delta \rho) - \sum_{\ell \neq 0} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\ell \neq 0} \frac{1$$

Large curvature limit: L>>1

$$C(\hat{n}, \hat{n}') = C(\hat{n} \cdot \hat{n}') \pm \frac{1}{L^2} \begin{pmatrix} \text{geodesic} \\ \text{corrections} \end{pmatrix} \mp \frac{1}{L^2} \begin{pmatrix} \text{eigenfunctions} \\ \text{corrections} \end{pmatrix}$$

Correction linear in 1/L violate parity and thus they do not appear

$$\langle a_{\ell m} a_{\ell' m'}^* \rangle = C_{\ell} \delta_{\ell \ell'} \delta_{m m'} + \mathcal{F}_{\ell m \ell' m'}$$
$$\mathcal{F}_{\ell+2} \equiv \frac{\sum_m |\langle a_{\ell m} a_{\ell+2m} \rangle|}{2\ell+1}$$

CMB bounds from superhorizon perturbations suggest that

$$L \gtrsim 100 H_{\rm today}^{-1}$$

(E.g., Erickcek, Carroll, Kamionkowski, PRD 2008)



## Part 3: Late-time Anisotropies

# Weak Lensing

Weak-lensing of large scale structure is a powerful tool to probe latetime geometry



In the standard lore, B-modes are not cosmological

## Weak-Lensing geodesic deviation

Photons four-momenta are parallel-transported along null-geodesics:

$$\frac{Dk^{\nu}}{d\lambda} = 0$$

This vector can be decomposed as:

$$k^{\mu} = -u^{\mu} + n^{\mu}$$
  $u^{\mu}n_{\mu} = 0$   $n^{\mu}n_{\mu} = 1$ 

We parameterize small geodesic deviations as

$$x^{\mu}(\lambda) = \bar{x}^{\mu}(\lambda) + \xi^{\mu}(\lambda)$$

$$\frac{D^2 \xi^{\mu}}{d\lambda^2} = R^{\mu}_{\nu\alpha\beta} k^{\nu} k^{\alpha} \xi^{\beta}$$



## Weak-Lensing screen description

The integration of the geodesic equation gives us:

$$x^{\mu}(\hat{n}^{o}, \lambda)$$
 and  $n^{\mu}(\hat{n}^{o}, \lambda)$ 

At the observer we define a 2D basis of vectors orthogonal to n°:

$$n_a^{\mu}$$
  $a = 1, 2$ 

$$n_a^{\mu}n_{b\mu} = \delta_{ab} \qquad n_a^{\mu}n_{\mu} = 0 = n_a^{\mu}u_{\mu}$$



This basis can be parallel-transported along the geodesics.

This allows us to define a helicity basis everywhere:  $\hat{\gamma}$ 

$$\hat{n}_{\pm} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\hat{n}_1 \pm i\hat{n}_2)$$

## Weak-Lensing screen description

We now project everything on the screen:

$$\mathcal{R}_{ab} = R_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}k^{\nu}k^{\alpha}n^{\mu}_{a}n^{\beta}_{b} \qquad \xi_{a} = \xi_{\mu}n^{\mu}_{a} \qquad \frac{d^{2}\xi_{a}}{d\lambda^{2}} = \mathcal{R}_{ab}\xi^{b}$$

Linearity gives:

$$\xi^{a}(\lambda) = \mathcal{D}(\lambda)^{a}_{\ b} \frac{d\xi^{b}(0)}{d\lambda}$$

with

And from this we get the Sachs equation:

$$\frac{d^2 \mathcal{D}_b^a}{d\lambda^2} = \mathcal{R}_c^a(\lambda) \mathcal{D}_b^c(\lambda)$$

 $\mathcal{D}_b^a(0) = 0, \quad \frac{d\mathcal{D}_b^a}{d\lambda}(0) = \delta_b^a$ 

## Weak-Lensing general prescription

Starting from

$$\frac{d^2 \mathcal{D}_b^a}{d\lambda^2} = \mathcal{R}_c^a(\lambda) \mathcal{D}_b^c(\lambda)$$

we do the following:

 Decompose the Riemann tensor in its trace (Ricci) and traceless (Weyl) part:

 $\mathcal{R}^a_b = \text{scalar} + \text{spin 2 field}$ 

#### 2. Decompose the Jacobi matrix in its irreducible pieces:

 $\mathcal{D}^a_b = \text{scalar} + \text{pseudo scalar} + \text{spin 2 field}$ 

(General 2x2 matrix)

(Symmetric 2x2 matrix)

- 3. Derive coupled equations for the above quantities
- 4. Harmonic decomposition  $\rightarrow$  Power spectrum  $\rightarrow$  Data analysis

## Weak-Lensing observables



background metric and initial conditions!

## Weak-Lensing observables

	Scalar	Spin - 2
Shape of the light-bundle	$\kappa(\hat{n}^{\mathrm{o}},\lambda), \ V(\hat{n}^{\mathrm{o}},\lambda)$	$\gamma^{\pm}(\hat{n}^{\mathrm{o}},\lambda)$
Properties of spacetime	$H_{\parallel}(\hat{n}^{\mathrm{o}},\lambda), \ \ \mathcal{R}(\hat{n}^{\mathrm{o}},\lambda)$	$\mathcal{W}^{\pm}(\hat{n}^{\mathrm{o}},\lambda)$

They are described by coupled equations:

$$\left(\frac{d^2}{d\lambda^2} + H_{\parallel}\frac{d}{d\lambda} - \mathcal{R}\right) \left(\begin{array}{c} \kappa\\ iV\\ \gamma^{\pm} \end{array}\right) = -2 \left(\begin{array}{c} \mathcal{W}^{(-\gamma^{+})}\\ \mathcal{W}^{[-\gamma^{+}]}\\ \mathcal{W}^{\pm}\left(\kappa + iV\right) \end{array}\right)$$

(Pitrou, Uzan & Pereira, PRD 2013)

The integration of this equation depends on the light-cone structure:

$$\hat{n}_a = \hat{n}_a(\hat{n}^{\mathrm{o}}, \lambda), \ H_{\parallel} = H_{\parallel}(\hat{n}^{\mathrm{o}}, \lambda) \ \dots$$

## Weak-Lensing Harmonic Decomposition

We perform a harmonic decomposition of all variables

#### Scalar:

$$X(\hat{n}^{\mathrm{o}},\lambda) = \sum_{\ell,m} X_{\ell m} Y_{\ell m}(\hat{n}^{\mathrm{o}}) \qquad X = (\kappa, V, H_{\parallel}, \mathcal{R})$$

#### Spin-2:

$$\gamma^{\pm}(\hat{n}^{\mathrm{o}}) = \sum_{\ell,m} [E_{\ell m}(\lambda) + iB_{\ell m}(\lambda)] Y_{\ell m}^{\pm 2}(\hat{n}^{\mathrm{o}})$$
$$\mathcal{W}^{\pm}(\hat{n}^{\mathrm{o}},\lambda) = \sum_{\ell,m} [\mathcal{E}_{\ell m}(\lambda) + i\mathcal{B}_{\ell m}(\lambda)] Y_{\ell m}^{\pm 2}(\hat{n}^{\mathrm{o}})$$

- Resulting equations obey a Boltzmann-like hierarchy
- Equations valid for any spacetime
- Can be solved order by order in perturbations

## Weak-Lensing Consistency check: FLRW

At first order in perturbations, we have:

$$\mathcal{R}_{ab} = -D_a D_b (\Phi + \Psi) \longrightarrow$$
$$\hat{n}(\hat{n}^{\text{o}}, \lambda) = \hat{n}^{\text{o}} \longrightarrow$$
$$H_{\parallel}(\hat{n}^{\text{o}}, \lambda) = \text{const.} \longrightarrow$$

Pure "electric" part Trivial light-cone Isotropic expansion

Cosmic shear E & B modes:

$$E_{\ell m}^{(1)} \to \left( \mathcal{R}_{00}^{(0)} - H_{00}^{(0)} \frac{d}{d\lambda} \right) E_{\ell m}^{(1)} - 2\kappa_{00}^{(0)} \mathcal{E}_{\ell m}^{(1)} \qquad B_{\ell m}^{(1)} \to \left( \mathcal{R}_{00}^{(0)} - H_{00}^{(0)} \frac{d}{d\lambda} \right) B_{\ell m}^{(1)}$$

Twist and convergence:

$$\kappa_{\ell m}^{(1)} \to \left( \mathcal{R}_{00}^{(0)} - H_{00}^{(0)} \frac{d}{d\lambda} \right) E_{\ell m}^{(1)} + \mathcal{R}_{\ell m}^{(1)} \kappa_{00}^{(0)} \qquad V_{\ell m}^{(1)} \to \left( \mathcal{R}_{00}^{(0)} - H_{00}^{(0)} \frac{d}{d\lambda} \right) V_{\ell m}^{(1)}$$

At first order in FLRW, E and k are sourced, but B and V are not! Thus

$$E_{\ell m}^{(1)} 
eq 0 \,, \quad \kappa_{\ell m}^{(1)} 
eq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad B_{\ell m}^{(1)} = V_{\ell m}^{(1)} = 0$$

As expected...

Weak-lensing in Bianchi I Universes

## Weak-Lensing in BI Motivation

#### General setup:

$$ds^{2} = -dt^{2} + a(\eta)^{2} \gamma_{ij}(t) dx^{i} dx^{j}, \quad T_{\mu\nu} = (\rho + p) u_{\mu} u_{\nu} + p g_{\mu\nu} + \Pi_{\mu\nu}$$

where: 
$$\sigma_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \gamma_{ij}(t), \qquad \Pi^{i}_{\ j} \propto (\Delta \omega)^{i}_{\ j}$$

The shear evolves as:

$$\sigma^{i}_{\ j} = \frac{1}{a^{3}} \left[ C^{i}_{\ j} + \int \Pi^{i}_{\ j} a^{2} \frac{da}{H} \right]$$
early

Thus, our motivations are twofold:

- To provide a new test of isotropy at late times
- Propose new observational tests on the anisotropy of dark energy

## Weak-Lensing in BI Perturbation scheme

Weak shear approximation:

$$\gamma_{ij}(\boldsymbol{\eta}) \approx \delta_{ij} + 2 \int_0^a \frac{\sigma_{ij}}{\mathcal{H}} \frac{da'}{a'}, \qquad \frac{\sigma_{ij}}{\mathcal{H}} \ll 1$$

We develop a perturbations scheme such that:

- Background FL quantities are of order {0,0}
- Background BI quantities are of order {1,0}
- Scalar metric perturbations are of order {0,1}
- Vector and tensor perturbations are of order {1,1}

The metric perturbations are:

$$ds^{2} = a^{2} \left[ -(1+2\Phi)d\eta^{2} + 2\bar{B}_{i}d\eta dx^{i} + (\gamma_{ij} + h_{ij})dx^{i}dx^{j} \right]$$
$$h_{ij} = -2\left(\gamma_{ij} + \frac{\sigma_{ij}}{\mathcal{H}}\right)\Psi + 2E_{ij}$$

## Weak-Lensing in BI Central geodesic approximation

#### Main goal:

$$\frac{d^2}{d\chi^2} \mathcal{D}_{ab} = -\frac{1}{k^0} \frac{dk^0}{d\chi} \frac{d\mathcal{D}_{ab}}{d\chi} + \frac{1}{(k^0)^2} \mathcal{R}_{ac} \mathcal{D}_{cb} \quad \longrightarrow \quad \gamma_{ab}^{\{1,1\}} \quad \longrightarrow \quad C_{\ell}^{EE}, \ C_{\ell}^{BB}$$

For that we need to:

- Determine the source position x<sup>i</sup> and the geodesic direction n at relevant order
- Determine the screen basis n<sup>a</sup>(n<sup>o</sup>,x) at order {1,1}
- Determine the source terms at order {1,1}
- Solve metric perturbations at order {0,1}
- Perform a multipolar expansion in quantities dependent on no.



#### Weak-Lensing in *BI* Dominant effect

We are interested in the EE and BB correlations in the cosmic shear induced by the anisotropic expansion. At small scales the dominant contribution is

$$\gamma_{ab}^{\{1,1\}}(\chi,\mathbf{n}^{\mathrm{o}}) = -\int_{0}^{\chi} \frac{\chi - \chi'}{\chi'} \alpha^{c}(\mathbf{n}^{\mathrm{o}},\chi) D_{c} D_{\langle a} D_{b \rangle}(\Phi + \Psi)(\mathbf{n}^{\mathrm{o}},\chi) d\chi'$$

$$\square$$
Deflection angle at order {1,0}
$$\square$$
Dominant at small scales
$$\square$$
Grav. Potentials at order {0,1}

We employ a gradient expansion (Hu, 2000), which allows us to get:

$$C_{\ell}^{BB} = \frac{2}{5\pi} \int_0^\infty k^2 dk \, P(k) \sum_{s=\pm 1} \frac{({}_2F_{\ell,2,\ell+s})^2}{2\ell+1} \sum_m \left| \int_0^\infty dN(z) \int_0^\chi dz' \alpha_{2m}(z') g_{\ell+s}(k,z,z') \right|^2$$

(Pereira, Pitrou, Uzan,arXiv:1503:01125)

## Weak-Lensing in *BI Models*

We consider two phenomenological models for the anisotropic pressure:



## Weak-Lensing Models

Source distribution:

Euclid: 
$$N(z) = Az^2 \exp\left[-\left(\frac{z}{z_0}\right)^{\beta}\right],$$

**SKA**: 
$$N(z) = A \frac{z^n}{(1+z)^m} \exp\left[-\frac{(a+bz)^2}{(1+z)^2}\right]$$



Free parameters:

A, z<sub>0</sub>, β, a, b, m, n

**CFHTLS:** 

σ/H<sub>₀</sub>≤0.4

**Euclid** 

σ/H₀≤0.008



## Weak-Lensing Cross-correlations

The convergence, E- and B-modes cross-correlations are linear in  $\sigma_{ij}/H$ , and allow one to fully reconstruct the eigendirections of expansion.



# **Final Remarks**

- Homogeneous and spatially anisotropic models are straightforward extension of FLR cosmologies.
- Shear-free anisotropic models are very similar to FLRW, and yet richer
- Perturbation theory is possible:
  - Can be used to model anisotropic inflation...
  - ...But it's not clear that it is the origin of anomalies
  - Further advance depends strongly on existence of eigenfunctions.
- E- and B- modes of weak-lensing can be measured by future surveys such as Euclid and SKA
  - New tool to measure isotropy at low redshift
  - The eigendirections of expansions can be fully reconstructed from weak-lensing
  - This opens a window to new investigations of the anisotropy of dark energy.

Thank you!