Low-dimensional physics perspective on holography

Dmitry Melnikov International Institute of Physics, UFRN

> Strings @ Dunes Natal – Jul'16

> > ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

Previously in Strings@Dunes

Holographic milestones

• predictions of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM

[lectures of H. Nastase]

$$\frac{\eta}{s} = \frac{1}{4\pi}$$

- hadrons
- strongly correlated fermions, superconductors etc.

[lectures of C. Hoyos]

$$G(w,k) \sim \frac{Z(\omega)}{\omega - v_f k_\perp + \Sigma(\omega)}$$

holographic entanglement entropy

[lectures of H. Casini]

$$S_{\rm EE}(A) = rac{\gamma(A)}{4G_N}$$

new look on higher spins, AdS₃/CFT₂

[lectures of D. Grumiller]

Today

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

More (specific) results in 2D and 3D

- system in external magnetic field: view on quantum Hall
- AdS/BCFT: (boundary) entropies
- towards AdS₄/CFT₃
- gravity and Chern/Simons: quantum Hall again

2-Dimensional Electrons in Magnetic Field

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

Experiment

[von Klitzing et al.'80] [Störmer,Tsui'85]



Magnetic field (T)

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへで

The Hall conductivity is quantized

$$\sigma_H = \frac{\rho}{B} = \nu \, \frac{e^2}{h}$$

Fractional plateaux are due to electron interactions

Basic theory

At a plateau 2D electrons form a gapped state (zero DC conductivity), equivalently, an incompressible fluid



< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Ingredients (IQHE)

- Discrete Landau levels
- Disorder allowing to vary the chemical potential in the gap between the levels
- Every filled Landau level contributes a unit of conductivity [Thouless et al' 82]

A common explanation of FQHE is the *composite fermion* model

Chern-Simons description of the QHE Quantum Hall state can be described by

$$j_i = \sigma_{ij} E_j, \qquad \sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma_H \\ -\sigma_H & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

This can follow from the Chern-Simons action

$$S = rac{k}{4\pi}\int d^3x \ \epsilon^{ijk}A_i\partial_jA_k\,, \qquad k=2\pi\sigma_H$$

- ? How can *k* be consistently quantized?
- $\nu = \frac{1}{2n+1}$ Laughlin series
- $\nu = \frac{n}{2n\pm 1}$ FQHE principal series

•
$$\nu = 5/2, \ldots$$
 – exotic fractions

[Zhang,Hansson,Kivelson'89]

Edge states

- No bulk transport, all transport occurs along the edges (edge currents)
- bulk/boundary correspondence (edge modes encode the state in the bulk)
- boundary theory is typically a 1D CFT (Luttinger liquid)

$$\sigma_{H}=e\,\frac{\partial J}{\partial\mu}$$

Each edge mode contributes a quantized value to the conductivity



Thermal transport

In the integer QHE we expect Wiedemann-Franz law to be satisfied

$$\frac{\kappa_H}{\sigma_H} = LT , \qquad L = \frac{\pi^2}{3} \left(\frac{k_B}{e}\right)^2$$

In the interacting case, from the bulk/boundary correspondence it is expected that

$$\kappa_H = c g_0, \qquad g_0 = \frac{\pi^2}{3} \frac{k_B^2}{h} T$$

where c - is a central charge of the boundary CFT [Kane,Fisher'96;Read,Green'00]

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

QHE from black holes

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ● □ ● ● ● ●

Holographic Models of QHE

Vast literature, including top-down approaches

- Keski-Vakkuri, Kraus'08; Davis, Kraus, Shah'08 Construction of an effective Chern-Simons theory. D-brane theory of plateau transitions
- Fujita, Ryu, Takayanagi'09 D-brane engineering of an effective Chern-Simons theory in low dimensions. Model massless edge modes and stripes of states with different ν . Proposal hierarchical FQHEs, using IIA string on C^2/Z_n
- Bergman, Jokela, Lifschytz, Lippert'10 D-brane engineering. Model a gapped system with massless edge modes. Quantization of conductivity as a result of quantization of a flux through a compact manifold. Irrational filling fractions

Black Holes

Black holes in AdS-space

$$ds^{2} = \frac{L^{2}}{z^{2}} \left(-f(z)dt^{2} + \sum_{i} dx_{i}^{2} + \frac{dz^{2}}{f(z)} \right), \qquad f(z) = 1 - \frac{z^{d}}{z_{h}^{d}}$$

In AdS₄

$$T = \frac{3}{4\pi z_h}$$

$$S = \frac{L^2 \Delta x \Delta y}{4G z_h^2} - \text{Bekenstein-Hawking entropy}$$

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲≣▶ ▲≣▶ = ● ● ●

- Black holes are thermodynamical systems
- TD quantities are typically defined for an infinitely remote observer

Black Holes

Introducing charge density

$$\mathrm{d}s^2 = \frac{L^2}{z^2} \left(-f(z)\mathrm{d}t^2 + \sum_i \mathrm{d}x_i^2 + \frac{\mathrm{d}z^2}{f(z)} \right)$$

Charge density/Chemical potential \longleftrightarrow bulk gauge field

$$A_0 = \mu - \langle \rho \rangle z^{d-2}, \qquad \mu = \langle \rho \rangle z_h^{d-2}, \qquad f(z) = 1 - \left(1 + Q^2\right) \frac{z^d}{z_h^d} + Q^2 \frac{z^{2d-2}}{z_h^{2d-2}}$$

In AdS_4

$$T = \frac{3 - Q^2}{4\pi z_h}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

Black Holes

Magnetic field

[Hartnoll,Kovtun'07]

Dyonic AdS black hole

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}s^2}{L^2} = \frac{\alpha^2}{z^2} \left(-f(z)\mathrm{d}t^2 + \mathrm{d}x^2 + \mathrm{d}y^2 \right) + \frac{\mathrm{d}z^2}{z^2 f(z)}$$
$$A_t = \mu - \frac{\rho}{\chi \alpha} z, \qquad A_x = -By$$

Regularity at the horizon $A_t = 0$ relates $\mu = \mu(\rho)$

$$T = \alpha \frac{3 - Q^2}{4\pi}, \qquad Q^2 = \frac{\rho^2 + \chi^2 B^2}{\chi^2 \alpha^4} \qquad S = \frac{L^2}{4G} \pi \alpha^2 \Delta x \Delta y$$

• Extremal (T = 0) black hole: $(\rho^2 + \chi^2 B^2) = 3\chi^2 \alpha^4$ has $S \neq 0$

• Quantity $\chi = \frac{L^2}{4G}$ can be related to the central charge *c* of the dual CFT

Transport

Green's functions

[Hartnoll,Kovtun'07]

Find the response of the system to a small perturbation of electric field and temperature gradient. The holographic prescription for calculation of correlators gives the following for the retarded Green's functions:

• for 2 currents $\langle [\mathcal{J}_i(t), \mathcal{J}_j(0)] \rangle_R$

$$G_{ij}^{R}(\omega) = -i\omega\epsilon_{ij}\frac{\rho}{B}$$

By Kubo formula

$$\sigma_{ij} = -\lim_{\omega \to 0} rac{\operatorname{Im} G^R_{ij}(\omega)}{\omega} = \epsilon_{ij} \sigma_H \,, \qquad \sigma_H = rac{
ho}{B}$$

 σ_{ij} is antisymmetric, but not quantized. ρ and B so far independent

• for $\langle [\mathcal{J}_i(t), \mathcal{T}_{ij}(0)] \rangle_R$ and $\langle [\mathcal{T}_{ti}(t), \mathcal{T}_{tj}(0)] \rangle_R$

$$G^{R}_{i\pi_{j}}(\omega) = -i\omega\epsilon_{ij}\,\frac{3\varepsilon}{2B}\,,\qquad G^{R}_{\pi_{i}\pi_{j}}(\omega) = \frac{\chi s^{2}T^{2}\,i\omega\delta_{ij}}{\rho^{2} + \chi^{2}B^{2}} - \frac{9\rho\varepsilon^{2}\,i\omega\epsilon_{ij}}{4B\,(\rho^{2} + \chi^{2}B^{2})}$$

◆□▶ ◆圖▶ ◆ 国▶ ◆ 国▶ ○ 国 ● の Q (2)

Transport

Thermal conductivities

[Hartnoll et al'07][DM,Orazi,Sodano'12]

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲≣▶ ▲≣▶ = ● ● ●

Low temperature expansions of the conductivities yield

$$\alpha_{xx} = \alpha_{yy} = 0, \qquad \alpha_{xy} = -\alpha_{yx} = \frac{s}{B} = \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{3}} \sqrt{1 + \sigma_H^2} + O(T)$$
$$\kappa_{xx} = \kappa_{yy} = \frac{\chi s^2 T}{\rho^2 + \chi^2 B^2} \rightarrow \chi \frac{\pi^2}{3} T + O(T^2)$$
$$\kappa_{xy} = -\kappa_{yx} = \frac{\rho s^2 T}{B(\rho^2 + \chi^2 B^2)} \rightarrow \sigma_H \frac{\pi^2}{3} T + O(T^2)$$

Wiedemann-Franz law

$$rac{\kappa_H}{\sigma_H} = \mathcal{L}T, \qquad \mathcal{L} = rac{\pi^2}{3} \left(rac{k_B}{e}
ight)^2$$

Quantum Hall vs Black Hole

Dyonic black hole

• is dual to a phase similar to a quantum Hall system at a plateau:

$$\sigma_{ab} = \epsilon_{ab} \frac{\rho}{B}$$

- does not impose quantization of σ_H
- does not exhibit a gap in the geometry
- yields an interesting result for heat conductivities, which alludes to a presence of a boundary

$$\kappa_{\parallel} = c \frac{\pi^2}{3} T, \qquad \kappa_{\perp} = \sigma_H \frac{\pi^2}{3} T$$

AdS and edges

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ● □ ● ● ● ●

If the system has an edge, what kind of geometry must encode that?

- causal wedge
- entanglement wedge
- dynamical principle



▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQで

AdS/BCFT

[Takayanagi'11]

$$S = \frac{1}{2\kappa} \int_{N} \mathrm{d}^{d+1} x \sqrt{-g} \left(R - 2\Lambda \right) + \frac{1}{\kappa} \int_{\partial N} \mathrm{d}^{d} x \sqrt{-h} K + S_{\partial N} [\mathrm{matter}]$$

 h_{ab} -induced metric on ∂N , K-extrinsic curvature, $K_{ab} = h_a^{\mu} h_b^{\nu} \nabla_{\mu} n_{\nu}$

$$\delta S = \frac{1}{2\kappa} \int_{\partial N} d^d x \sqrt{-h} \left(K_{ab} - Kh_{ab} + \Sigma h_{ab} - T_{ab} \right) \delta h^{ab}$$

Neumann boundary conditions

[Compere,Marolf'08]

$$K_{ab} - (K - \Sigma)h_{ab} = T_{ab}$$

This is a dynamical equation that determines h_{ab} and the shape of the wedge

Simple example: AdS₃

[Takayanagi'11]

$$h_{ab}: \quad \frac{L^2}{z^2} \left(-dt^2 + (x'(z)^2 + 1)dz^2 \right)$$

Solving "no-fluid" ($T_{ab} = 0$) Neumann boundary conditions gives

$$Q: \quad x(z) = z \cot \theta, \qquad \Sigma L = \cos \theta$$



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

AdS/BCFT at finite T

[Takayanagi'11]

Zh

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

$$ds^{2} = \frac{L^{2}}{z^{2}} \left(-f(z)dt^{2} + dx^{2} + \frac{dz^{2}}{f(z)} \right)$$

$$f(z) = 1 - z^{2}/z_{h}^{2}$$
The same exercise yields
$$N' = N'$$

$$x(z) - x(0) = z_h \operatorname{arcsinh}\left(\frac{z}{z_h} \cot \theta\right)$$

Boundary Entropy

Thermodynamics

[Takayanagi'11]

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQで

From

 $F = T I_{\rm GH}$

 $(I_{GH}$ – Euclidean action) thermal entropy is

$$S = \frac{c}{3} \left(\pi T \Delta x + \operatorname{arcsinh}(\cot \theta) \right)$$

assuming $T\Delta x \gg 1$

- · Consists of 'bulk' and 'boundary' Bekenstein-Hawking contributions
- Consistent with entanglement entropy $S_{\text{EE}} = \frac{c}{6} \log \frac{l}{\epsilon} + \log g$

Higher dimensions

Profiles

- Empty AdS works similarly
- Black hole metrics are generally incompatible with the boundary condition

$$K_{ab} - (K - \Sigma)h_{ab} = 0$$



$$K_{ab} - (K - \Sigma)h_{ab} = T_{ab}$$



▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQで

Higher dimensions

Boundary T_{ab}

[Magán,DM,Silva'14]

Assuming generic x = x(z) and planar BH geometry

$$T_{ab} = \left(\begin{array}{cc} \epsilon h_{tt} & & \\ & p_y h_{yy} & \\ & & p_z h_{zz} \end{array}\right)$$

generally non-fluid-like stress tensor



<ロト < 同ト < 回ト < 回ト = 三日 = 三日

Condition $p_y = p_z$ uniquely defines the profile x(z)

$$x(z) - x(0) = \int_{0}^{z} d\zeta \frac{\cot \theta}{\sqrt{f(\zeta)}}, \qquad f = 1 - z^{d}/z_{f}$$

Higher dimensions

Boundary entropy

[Magán,DM,Silva'14]

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQで

Two prescriptions

- Compute thermodynamic potential (free energy) as Euclidean action
- Integrate the relation ϵ + p = Ts over Q



Entropy $S = -\partial F / \partial T$ ($c = L^2 / 4G$)

$$S = S_{\text{bulk}} + 2S_{\text{bdry}} = \frac{16\pi^2 c}{9} T^2 \Delta x \Delta y + \frac{32\pi c}{9} T \Delta y \cot \theta$$

Boundary entropy is not BH, $S_{bdry} \simeq 0.95 S_{BH}$

Edges as Defects

Refinement of the Takayanagi's proposal

[Erdmenger,Flory,Newrzella'14]



A holographic Kondo model (ask Carlos)

[Hoyos et al'13-16]

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQで

- Entaglement entropy in the presence of impurity
- The length scale ξ in the boundary entropy correlation length

$$S_{\text{bdry}} = \frac{c}{3} \operatorname{arcsinh} (\cot \theta) = \frac{L}{2G} \frac{\xi}{z_h}$$

Edges of Quantum Hall

Adding gauge fields

[Fujita,Kaminski,Karch'12][DM,Orazi,Sodano'12]

$$c_1 \int_N \mathrm{d}^4 x \sqrt{-g} F_{\mu\nu}^2 + c_2 \int_N F \wedge F + k \int_Q A \wedge F - k \int_P \mathrm{d}^2 x A_x A_t$$

Neumann boundary conditions imply

$$c_1F + (c_2 + k) * F|_Q = 0$$

• Density and magnetic field are locked together $\rho \sim B$



▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQで

Edges of Quantum Hall

Edge Currents

[DM,Orazi,Sodano'12]

Calculate current as the response to a variation of the external field

$$\langle \mathcal{J}^{x}(x^{i}) \rangle = \frac{\delta S_{k}}{\delta A_{x}(x^{i})} = -\frac{k}{2\pi} \, \mu \left(\delta(y) - \frac{\Theta(y + \cot \theta)}{\cot \theta} \right)$$

For $\theta < \pi/2$ there is a non-zero current, which is maximal for $\theta = 0$



Geometrically $\theta = 0$ corresponds to a gap, independent of the position. For $\theta > 0$ edge current diffuses and disappears for $\theta = \pi/2$ (no gap).

Low-Dimensional AdS/CFT

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ─ □ ─ のへぐ

Chern-Simons and CFT

Precursors

[Belavin,Polyakov,Zamolodchikov'84]

Conformal symmetry in 1 + 1D highly contrains the theory

Conformal blocks

$$\langle \prod_{i=1}^{n} \phi_{R_i}(z_i) \rangle = \sum_{\lambda} |\mathcal{F}_{\lambda;R_1,\ldots,R_n}(z_1,\ldots,z_n)|^2$$

can be reconstructed from the constraints imposed by the symmetry

Quantization of Chern-Simons theory in 2+1D [Witten'89]

- States in the Hilbert space of CS ←→ conformal blocks
- Knot invariants are Wilson line correlators in CS



э

イロト 不得 とうほう イヨン

Chern-Simons and CFT

TQFT vocabulary

• Partition function $\partial \mathcal{M} = 0 \longleftrightarrow C$ -number

$$Z = \int \mathcal{D}A \, \exp(-S_{\rm CS})$$

Wilson loop ←→ topological invariant

$$\langle W_R(C) \rangle = \left\langle \operatorname{Tr}_R \operatorname{P} \exp \int_C A \, \mathrm{d}x \right\rangle_{\mathrm{CS}}$$

• Partition function $\partial \mathcal{M} \neq 0 \longleftrightarrow$ wavefunction

All the bulk information of CS is encoded in the boundary (conformal block):

 \Rightarrow holographic correspondence







▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへで

CFT and Quantum Hall

Conformal blocks

[Moore,Read'91]

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ★臣▶ = 臣 = のへで

Wavefunctions of QHE \iff conformal blocks of CFT's

$$\Psi = \prod_{i < j} (z_i - z_j)^{1/\nu} \exp\left(-1/4\ell^2 \sum_i |z_i|^2\right)$$



Low-dimensional AdS/CFT

Duality triangle



- ⇒ In low dimensions AdS/CFT exists without strings (at least for classical gravity)
- \Rightarrow Chern-Simons provide a compact setup to study AdS₃/CFT₂

[lectures of D. Grumiller]

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQで

AdS₃ and Chern-Simons

3d Gravity as Chern-Simons

[Witten'88]

- ロト・ 日本・ モー・ モー・ うらく

$$A = \omega + \frac{1}{\ell} e \qquad \bar{A} = \omega - \frac{1}{\ell} e$$
$$S = S_{\rm CS}[A] - S_{\rm CS}[\bar{A}]$$

where A, \overline{A} are SL(2, R)-valued flat connections

for $SL(N, R) \times SL(N, R)$ one also obtains higher spin fields $s \leq N$

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \operatorname{Tr} (e_{\mu}e_{\nu}) \qquad \phi_{\mu\nu\rho} = \operatorname{Tr} (e_{(\mu}e_{\nu}e_{\rho)})$$

Flat connections are mapped to solutions of Einstein eqs. Gauge transforms become diffeos

AdS₃ and Chern-Simons

Black holes from flat connections

Gauge transformation ($w = t + i\phi$) $L_0, L_{\pm 1} \in sl(2)$

 $A = b^{-1}ab + b^{-1}db \qquad b = \exp(-L_0\rho) \qquad a = a_w dw + a_{\bar{w}} d\bar{w}$

If one chooses

$$a_w = L_1 + ML_{-1}, \qquad \bar{a}_{\bar{w}} = L_{-1} + ML_{1}$$

one gets

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}s^2}{\ell^2} = \mathrm{d}\rho^2 - \left(e^{\rho} - Me^{-\rho}\right)^2 \mathrm{d}t^2 + \left(e^{\rho} + Me^{-\rho}\right)^2 \mathrm{d}\phi^2$$

Entaglement entropy

[Ryu,Takayanagi'06]

Holographic formula for computing entanglement entropy

 $S_{\text{EE}}(A) = \frac{\text{Area}(\gamma(A))}{4G}$, $\gamma(A)$ – minimal area surface

In AdS_3 it reproduces the known CFT₂ result

[Calabrese,Cardy'04]

- コン・4回シュービン・4回シューレー

$$S_{\rm EE} = \frac{c}{6} \log \frac{\sqrt{\epsilon^2 + x^2/4} + x/2}{\sqrt{\epsilon^2 + x^2/4} - x/2} \to \frac{c}{3} \log \frac{x}{\epsilon}$$

• The relation opens up a rich source of speculations about the meaning of quantum geometry

Entanglement entropy from Chern-Simons [de Boer,Jottar'13][Ammon,Castro,Iqbal'13] Natural observables in Chern-Simons theory are (vevs of) Wilson loops

$$W_R(C) = \operatorname{Tr}_R \operatorname{Pexp} \oint_C A$$

- gauge invariants, topological invariants.

Less obvious – Wilson lines: looking at the data defining W_R one can guess

$$W_R(x_i, x_f) \sim \exp\left(-\sqrt{2c_2(R)}L(x_i, x_f)\right)$$

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Wilson line computes the proper geodesic distance for a particle of mass $m^2 = 2c_2$

Example

$$ds^{2} = (d\tau^{2} + dx^{2} + du^{2})/u^{2}$$

$$W(C) = \operatorname{Tr} \mathbf{P} \exp\left(-\int_{\overline{C}} A\right) \mathbf{P} \exp\left(-\int_{C} \overline{A}\right)$$

Wilson line between points (u, -x/2, 0) and (u, x/2, 0)

$$A_x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1/u \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \mathbf{P} \exp \int_{-x/2}^{x/2} A_x dx = \exp A_x \cdot x = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x/u \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\mathbf{P} \exp \int_{-x/2}^{x/2} A_x dx \mathbf{P} \exp \int_{x/2}^{-x/2} \bar{A}_x dx = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + x^2/u^2 & x/u \\ x/u & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ 臣▶ ◆ 臣▶ ─ 臣 = ∽ � � �

(AdS/)CFT interpretation

Wilson lines compute the coupling of a probe particle of mass $m = \sqrt{2c_2(R)}$ to the classical background provided by the connection *A*, *Ā*. From the AdS/CFT point of view this is

$$\langle O_H(\infty)O_L(0)O_L(w)O_H(1) \rangle = \langle O_H | O_L(0)O_L(w) | O_H \rangle$$

▲ロト ▲ □ ト ▲ □ ト ▲ □ ト ● ● の Q ()

For O_L corresponding to the ρ -primary one gets the von Neumann entropy

General behavior

[Hegde,Kraus,Perlmutter'15]

 $SL(N), \text{ any representation} \qquad w = t + i\phi$ $W_R(C) \xrightarrow[\epsilon \to 0]{} \langle \operatorname{hw}_R | W | \operatorname{hw}_R \rangle$ $= e^{-4h_R} \langle \operatorname{hw}_R | e^{-a_w w - a_{\overline{w}} \overline{w}} | - \operatorname{hw}_R \rangle \langle -\operatorname{hw}_R | e^{\overline{a}_w w + \overline{a}_{\overline{w}} \overline{w}} | \operatorname{hw}_R \rangle$

- Entanglement entropy case corresponds to $hw_R = \rho$
- For general *R* the Wilson line computes a semiclassical $(c \to \infty)$ conformal block

Integrability connection

From matrix elements to tau-functions

[DM,Mironov,Morozov'16]

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

Calculation of Wilson lines reduces to determination of matrix elements

$$\langle -\mathbf{h}\mathbf{w}_R | \mathbf{e}^{a_w w + a_{\bar{w}}\bar{w}} | \mathbf{h}\mathbf{w}_R \rangle, \qquad a_w = L_{-1} + \sum_{s=2}^N \mathcal{Q}_s L_{s-1}^{(s)}$$

It turns out that physically interesting matrix elements are described by special τ -functions

$$\tau^{(k)}(s,\bar{s}|G) = \langle \operatorname{hw}_k | e^H \, G \, e^{\bar{H}} | \operatorname{hw}_k \rangle, \qquad e^H = \exp \sum_{i=1}^s s_s R_k(L^s_{-(s-1)})$$

Toda recursion relation

$$\tau^{(k)}\partial_1\bar{\partial}_1\tau^{(k)} - \partial_1\tau^{(k)}\bar{\partial}_1\tau^{(k)} = \tau^{(k+1)}\tau^{(k)}$$

Integrability connection

Skew tau-function

[DM,Mironov,Morozov'16]

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

$$\tau_{-}^{(k)}(s,G) = \langle \operatorname{hw}_{k} | e^{H} G | - \operatorname{hw}_{k} \rangle = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{s}_{1}}\right)^{k(N-k)} \tau^{(k)}(s,\bar{s},G)$$

Recursion relation

$$\tau_{-}^{(k)} \frac{\partial^2 \tau_{-}^{(k)}}{\partial t^2} - \left(\frac{\partial \tau_{-}^{(k)}}{\partial t}\right)^2 = \tau_{-}^{(k+1)} \tau_{-}^{(k-1)}$$

3D Gravity and QHE

Is the 3D gravity useful for QHE?

Recent progress in the understanding of the relations between (higher spin) 3d gravity, (SL(N)) Chern-Simons theories and (W_N) CFT's open new perspectives on the QHE

- rational CFT's as theories for the edge states?
- black holes as QHE quasiparticle excitations?
- characters of minimal models as QHE wavefunctions?

3D Gravity and QHE

New proposals

[Vafa'15]

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

$$Q = i\left(\sqrt{2\nu} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\nu}}\right)$$
 $c = 1 + 6Q^2 = 1 - 3\frac{(2\nu - 1)^2}{\nu}$

For rational $\nu = n/m$ this corresponds to (2n, m) minimal models. Since *m* must be odd:

• unitarity fixes $m = 2n \pm 1$

$$\nu = \frac{n}{2n \pm 1}$$
 - FQHE principal series

Conclusions

This talk is a subjective recollection of results in low-dimensional holographic models and their connection to various topics of mathematical physics. It is aimed to underline the following points

- Symmetries are powerful in low dimensions so that AdS/CFT conjecture can be tested
- The correspondence can work (be justified) beyond string (top-down) formulation. In particular, there is no particular need for large N large c is enough

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

• Predictions of holographic models can be relevant for real-life phenomena.